SELBERG'S SIEVE COURSE NOTES, 2015

1. Bounding the number of twin primes

We recall that we have given a bound for the following sieving problem. Given:

- $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with $\#\mathcal{A} = X$;
- \mathcal{P} a set of primes and

$$P(z) = \prod_{\substack{p \le z\\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} p;$$

• We defined the set of elements of \mathcal{A} not divisible by all primes $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $p \leq z$:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z) = \#\{n \in \mathcal{A} : \gcd(n, P(z)) = 1\}$$

and wish to estimate $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z)$.

For each square-free d such that $p|d \Rightarrow p \in \mathcal{P}$ define

$$\mathcal{A}_d = \{ n \in \mathcal{A} : d | n \}.$$

and assume

$$\#\mathcal{A}_d = \frac{X}{f(d)} + R_d$$

where f is a multiplicative function. We proved:

Theorem 1.1. In the notation as above, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z) \le \frac{X}{S(z)} + R(z),$$

where

$$S(z) = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ d|P(z)}} \frac{\mu^2(d)}{(\mu * f)(d)} , \quad R(z) = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \le z \\ d_1, d_2|P(z)}} |R_{[d_1, d_2]}| .$$

Date: May 7, 2015.

1.1. **Twin primes.** We will now apply the above to the problem of giving an upper bound to the number $\pi_2(X)$ of twin primes up to X. To do so, we take

$$\mathcal{A} = \{m(m+2) : m \le X\}$$

and \mathcal{P} to be all primes. Then

$$\pi_2(X) - \pi_2(z) \le \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z)$$

and it suffices to give an upper bound for $S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, z)$. By Selberg's sieve,

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{P},z) \leq \frac{X}{S(z)} + R(z)$$

and we need a lower bound for S and an upper bound for R. We will show that $S(z) \gg (\log z)^2$ and $R(z) \ll (z \log z)^2$, and taking say $z = X^{1/4}$ we find

$$\frac{X}{S(z)} + R(z) \ll \frac{X}{(\log z)^2} + (z \log z)^2 \ll \frac{X}{(\log X)^2}$$

Thus we find

Theorem 1.2.

$$\pi_2(X) \ll \frac{X}{(\log X)^2}$$

We start by finding the multiplicative function f(d): In previous classes we saw

Lemma 1.3. Let

$$\rho(d) = \#\{c \mod d : c(c+2) = 0 \mod d\}$$

Then

$$\#\mathcal{A}_d = X\frac{\rho(d)}{d} + O(\rho(d))$$

Hence $f(d) = d/\rho(d)$ and $R_d = \rho(d)$. We can compute that for p prime,

$$\rho(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & p = 2\\ 2, & p \neq 2 \end{cases}$$

1.2. An upper bound for R. We claim that

(1) $R(z) \ll (z \log z)^2$

We have $R_d = \rho(d) \leq 2^{\omega(d)}$ for d squarefree (where $\omega(d)$ denotes the number of distinct prime factors of d), and hence

$$R(z) = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ \text{squarefree}}} \rho([d_1, d_2]) \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ \text{squarefree}}} 2^{\omega([d_1, d_2])}$$

Now

$$\omega([d_1, d_2]) \le \omega(d_1 d_2) \le \omega(d_1) + \omega(d_2)$$

and therefore

$$R(z) \leq \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq z \\ \text{squarefree}}} 2^{\omega(d_1) + \omega(d_2)} = \Big(\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ \text{squarefree}}} 2^{\omega(d)}\Big)^2$$

For d squarefree, $2^{\omega(d)} = \tau(d)$ and therefore

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq z \\ \text{squarefree}}} 2^{\omega(d)} \leq \sum_{n \leq z} \tau(n) \ll z \log z$$

which gives $R(z) \ll (z \log z)^2$ as claimed.

1.3. A lower bound for S. To give a lower for for S(z), we replace S(z) by a somewhat more convenient function. Let \tilde{f} be the completely multiplicative function whose values at primes is $\tilde{f}(p) = f(p)$, that is

$$\tilde{f}(n) = \prod_{p} \tilde{f}(p)^{k_p}, \quad n = \prod p^{k_p}$$

Lemma 1.4.

$$S(z) = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ d \mid P(z)}} \frac{1}{(f * \mu)(d)} \ge \sum_{\substack{n \le z \\ p \mid n \Rightarrow p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(n)}$$

Proof. We have for d squarefree

$$(f*\mu)(d) = \prod_{p|d} (f(p) - 1)$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{(f*\mu)(d)} = \frac{1/f(p)}{1 - 1/f(p)} = \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{f(p)^k} = \prod_{p|d} \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{1}{f(p)^k} = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}(d)} \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(n)}$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(d) = \{n : p \mid n \Leftrightarrow p \mid d\}$$

Note that the sets of $\mathcal{N}(d)$ are disjoint for different squarefree d's and that every integer $d \leq z$ belongs to exactly one of the sets $\mathcal{N}(d)$ (d squarefree), namely for $d = \operatorname{rad}(n) := \prod_{p|n} p$.

We have

$$S(z) = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ d | P(z)}} \frac{1}{(f * \mu)(d)} = \sum_{\substack{d \le z \\ d | P(z)}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}(d)} \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(n)}$$

Since $\tilde{f} > 0$, we can drop all terms with n > z without increasing the result, retaining only those $n \leq z$ which are divisible only by primes from some $d \mid P(z)$, that is that are divisible only by primes from \mathcal{P} . Hence

$$S(z) \geq \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ p \mid n \Rightarrow p \in \mathcal{P}}} \frac{1}{\tilde{f}(n)}$$

as claimed.

Proposition 1.5.

$$S(z) \gg (\log z)^2$$

Proof. We use Lemma 1.4 to obtain

$$S(z) \ge \sum_{n \le z} \frac{\tilde{\rho}(n)}{n}$$

Here

$$\tilde{\rho}(n) = \prod_{p|n} \rho(p)^{k_p} = \prod_{\substack{p|n \\ p \neq 2}} 2^{k_p} = 2^{\Omega_{\text{odd}}(n)}$$

where $\Omega_{\text{odd}}(n)$ is the number of odd prime powers dividing n. We have

$$2^{\Omega_{\text{odd}}(n)} \ge \tau_{\text{odd}}(n)$$

where

$$\tau_{\rm odd}(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|n\\d \text{ odd}}} 1$$

is the number of odd divisors of n. Indeed, since both functions are multiplicative, it suffices to check this for $n = p^k$ a prime power, and then for $p \neq 2$ we check that

$$2^{\Omega_{\text{odd}}(p^k)} = 2^k \ge k + 1 = \tau_{\text{odd}}(p^k)$$

while for p = 2 both sides are 1.

Hence we find

$$S(z) \geq \sum_{n \leq z} \frac{\tau_{\mathrm{odd}}(n)}{n}$$

Lemma 1.6.

$$D_{\text{odd}}(x) := \sum_{n \le x} \tau_{\text{odd}}(n) = \frac{1}{2}x \log x + O(x)$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} D_{\text{odd}}(x) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \tau_{\text{odd}}(n) = \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \text{ odd}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ d \text{ odd}}} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ d \text{ odd}}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ d \text{ odd}}} \frac{x}{d} + O(1) = x \sum_{\substack{d \leq x \\ d \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{d} + O(x) \;. \end{split}$$

Now

$$\sum_{\substack{d \le x \\ d \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{d} = \sum_{d \le x} \frac{1}{d} - \sum_{c \le x/2} \frac{1}{2c}$$
$$= \log x + O(1) - \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x}{2} + O(1) = \frac{1}{2} \log x + O(1)$$

4

and therefore

$$\sum_{n \le x} \tau_{\text{odd}}(n) = x \left(\frac{1}{2} \log x + O(1) \right) + O(x) = \frac{1}{2} x \log x + O(x)$$

as claimed.

Now to show that $S(z) \ge \frac{1}{4}(\log z)^2 + O(\log z)$: We saw that

$$S(z) \ge \sum_{n \le z} \frac{\tau_{\text{odd}}(n)}{n}$$

Using summation by parts

$$\sum_{n \le z} \frac{\tau_{\text{odd}}(n)}{n} = \frac{D_{\text{odd}}(z)}{z} + \int_{1}^{z} \frac{D_{\text{odd}}(t)}{t^{2}} dt$$
$$= O(\log z) + \int_{1}^{z} \frac{\frac{1}{2}t \log t + O(t)}{t^{2}} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{4} (\log z)^{2} + O(\log z)$$

Hence we find $S(z) \ge \frac{1}{4}(\log z)^2 + O(\log z)$ as claimed.